# Sprint Review 3 - Team CompEdu

* Features implemented
* Extended use cases into sequence diagrams for complex steps
* Added ability to assign cards to decks
* Extended parsing to cover more delimiters
* Added UI for the basic study loop to quiz/review a deck
* Considered possible ways to streamline the menu navigation for the UI
* Outlined and implemented ways to handle information without requiring users to create an account.
* Issues fixed
* Revised deliverable 2 documents
* Implementation review
  + *What went well in the implementation*
* The work was divided well among group members according to their role.
* The group has followed the new communication policies well
  + Notifying group when they might/will miss a meeting, posting meeting summaries, responding to the summary if they’ve missed the meeting to show it’s been seen.
* Overall progress on the project has maintained a good pace for the expected completion date.
  + *What problems occurred*
* There has been some level of members not being fully clear on one anothers work/thoughts. This can be cases of miscommunication, or just needing to set aside some time to review eachothers work. For instance but not limited to: Myself (Josh) overlooking some of the design aspects put forth by the designer (Mohammed), the developers communicating how certain classes and components should be best implemented, developers reviewing the design criteria put forth by the PM and Designer, and similarly the PM and Designer reviewing how the developers are implementing those criteria into code so that this can be reflected in any related documents such as the Sequence Diagrams.
* My (Josh) conference travel interfered slightly with organization. I don’t think it caused any significant issues, but I could have prepared things a bit better for meetings.
* Overall though this sprint went well.
  + *How problems were solved*
* We aimed to complete the work for the sprint on Friday, with the last weekend of the sprint acting as a review period for people to look over one another’s work. Going forward the PM will incorporate this better into a portion of each Friday meeting.
* Changes made
* Changed some of the organization within discord and Google Drive
* Improved the scheduling for sprints to better allow people to discuss their progress and review eachother’s work.
* Plans for next sprint *(What will be done for the next sprint)*
* Extend the functionality of flash cards
  + Add additional templates for cards
  + Let user make notes on cards
* Enforce optimization requirements on the speed of the studying loop (avoid any delays on the app’s presentation of new cards, card flipping, etc)
* Integrate the backend and frontend code so that the GUI can trigger any coded functions for importing/exporting/studying
* Scrum Review
  + *What went well?*
* Made efficient use of meeting time.
* Consistently met to discuss progress.
* Clear distribution of responsibilities.
  + *What could be improved?*
* Review of one another’s work
  + *What changes will be made to how we work for the next sprint?*
* Revising/extending how review will be handled so that members can more clearly understand both the desired criteria/functionality of the app as well as how it is being/will be implemented.

# Sprint Review 2 - Team CompEdu

* Features implemented
* Produced use case diagrams and descriptions
* Improved UI mockups
* Completed remainder of SRS
* Added functionality to the initial code, allowing some level of interaction via buttons
* Began work on text parsing code
* Created document for architecture design
* Issues fixed
* Revised deliverable 1 documents
* Implementation review
  + *What went well in the implementation*
* The work was divided well among group members according to their role.
* Dividing of tasks occurred earlier in the process
  + *What problems occurred*
* Poor meeting attendance overall
* Tight deadline following the previous project presentation deadline
  + As a result, not all of the tasks assigned to this sprint were completed.
  + *How problems were solved*
* Updated communication policy
* Will pace out work better in the future rather than just working deadline-to-deadline.
* For tasks that weren’t completed, we’ll include them as high-priority tasks in sprint 3. The workload of sprint 3 had already been lessened with the expectation that this might happen, and without having to submit presentations before deliverable 3, we should have most of the full 2 weeks to address issues without other group work taking priority.
* Changes made
* Altered communication policy to improve future communication
* Plans for next sprint *(What will be done for the next sprint)*
* Extend current UI code, with a focus on deck building and the basic studying loop.
* Continue working on text parsing to make it sufficiently thorough and robust.
* Scrum Review
  + *What went well?*
* Made efficient use of meeting time.
  + *What could be improved?*
* Attendance
  + *What changes will be made to how we work for the next sprint?*
* If anyone will be absent they are expected to notify the team in advance. After the meeting, key points will be posted in discord and anyone who was absent is expected to reply to show that they read them.

# Sprint Review 1 - Team CompEdu

* Features implemented
* Produced functional and non-functional requirement lists
* Produced UI mockups
* Created Kanban
* Created Product and Sprint backlogs
* Created initial code to build off of
* Issues fixed
* Revised user stories
* Implementation review
  + *What went well in the implementation*
* The work was divided well among group members according to their role.
  + *What problems occurred*
* There were delays in getting started while we sorted out what needed to be done.
  + *How problems were solved*
* Using flexible meeting times and communicating on Discord to share information and coordinate divided work.
* Changes made
* None
* Plans for next sprint *(What will be done for the next sprint)*
* Focus on UI development and Text processing
* Scrum Review
  + *What went well?*
* Made efficient use of meeting time.
  + *What could be improved?*
* Preparing work outside of the meeting times to prepare for 15 minute meetings.
  + *What changes will be made to how we work for the next sprint?*
* Divide the work sooner in the week so that team members have more clear ideas of what to work on between meetings.